

Omaha WWTP Consultative Group

Agenda and meeting actions #4

PROJECT: Omaha WWTP Consultative Group

DATE: 05/11/2014

REPORT VS

PREPARED BY: ATTACHMENTS:

	оду Сору	logy Copy Name			
		☐ Bill Tucker	Bill Tucker		
		☐ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □			
		☐ Dean Grice			
		□ □ Denis O'Callahan	Denis O'Callahan		
		☐ ☐ Elizabeth Foster (Whangateau Harbourcare Group)			
		☐ Fiona McKenzie (Manuhiri Kaitiaki Charitable Trust)			
		☐ Graham Painter (Omaha Beach Community)			
		☐ ☐ Hugh McKergow (Whangateau Residents & Ratepayers)			
		□ □ Ian McDonald (Whangateau Harbourcare Group)			
		☐ Ines Curin (Point Wells Community & Ratepayers)	Ines Curin (Point Wells Community & Ratepayers)		
		☑ □ John Cranston			
		☑ □ John Laurence	John Laurence		
		☐ Keith McSporran (Omaha Shorebirds Protection Trust)	Keith McSporran (Omaha Shorebirds Protection Trust)		
		☐ Mark Barnett	Mark Barnett		
		☐ Mike Bradbury (Point Wells Community & Ratepayers)	Mike Bradbury (Point Wells Community & Ratepayers)		
		□ Neville Johnson (Matakana Community Group)	Neville Johnson (Matakana Community Group)		
			Noelene Cranston		
		Raewyn Morrison (Forest & Bird Mid North Branch)	Raewyn Morrison (Forest & Bird Mid North Branch)		
		☐ Richard Muir	Richard Muir		
		□ Roger Grace (Whangateau Harbourcare Group)			
		☐ Theo Verryt			
		☐ Trish Allen	Trish Allen		
	\boxtimes	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	Richard Brabant (Omaha Beach Golf Club)		
	\boxtimes	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	David Wilson (Department of Conservation)		
		☐ ☐ Megan Beard (Auckland Council)	Megan Beard (Auckland Council)		
		☐ Chrissy Henley (Auckland Council)	Chrissy Henley (Auckland Council)		
		☐ Mark James (Aquatic Environmental Sciences)	Mark James (Aquatic Environmental Sciences)		
		☐ Phil Mitchell (Mitchell Partnerships)	Phil Mitchell (Mitchell Partnerships)		
\boxtimes		□ Andre Stuart (Watercare)	Andre Stuart (Watercare)		
		□ Vila Souvannavong (Watercare)	Vila Souvannavong (Watercare)		

Agenda/Actions	Responsibility	Due by
Action Points from 27 September meeting		
Watercare developed a draft project investigation plan that was circulated to		

Agenda/Actions	Responsibility	Due by
the Consultative Group for review. The purpose of this meeting was to go through and discuss this draft plan.		
It was noted during this meeting that whilst the plan included dates, these are largely indicative and can be changed as required to ensure the group is comfortable with the programme and the information collected is suitably robust.		
Issues and concerns		
Nicholas read through the draft plan and the group discussed various points and any issues along the way as noted below.		
General comments on each of the items are listed below.		
Groundwater		
The key matters that were raised were:		
The proposed bore depths were not specified.		
 Control sites have not directly been included, although the sites in the possible expansion areas could be suitable, 		
 The monitoring timeframe should be extended to cover the full range of annual conditions. 		
 Seasonality of groundwater levels. 		
 Huge variation in groundwater levels and pressures across dry and wet periods of monitoring. 		
 Modelling helps regards looking at trends and should be used with ongoing monitoring. 		
 Local knowledge is also valuable alongside modelling. 		
 Need to get the sites right and confirmed first before drilling commences. 		
 Site visit – to look at harbour edge sites either Monday 17th or 24th November, and it has to coincide with low tide. 	NW	Confirmed pm of 24/11
Emergent Contaminants and Microbials		
 More detail of intentions to measure emerging contaminants is required. 		
 There is work to do first before we know how to go forward on this matter: 		
 Stage One Risk assessment - Undertake review; understand potential effects; efficacy of treatment processes; which contaminants should be investigated further? 		
Page 2		<u> </u>

Agen	la/Actions	Responsibility	Due by
•	The harbour water quality testing needs to be undertaken at low tide to provide an accurate assessment of the quality of water from the contributing catchment. Mark James confirmed testing is now done at low tides.		
•	Need to confirm efficacy of UV for different viruses, part of stage 1		
Peer R	eview		
•	Some members of the group raised the need for an independent review of the proposed investigation plan by someone appropriately qualified. The revised plan will be sent to the relevant consent processing team in Auckland Council who will assign the peer review task to a suitability qualified expert.	NW	AC have agreed to undertake this
Other	sections of plan		
•	3.5 Wetlands/Forest : Kahikatea Forest – these are rare so no others to include in survey as controls.		
•	3.6 Hydrodynamics of Harbour: Graham pointed out there was another thesis written covering the hydrodynamics particularly causeways. He will send it through.	GP	
•	3.8 Benthic habitat and shoreline plants: No monitoring of heavy metals is needed here. There is information from the council available regards heavy metals at these sites and this will be used to complete the picture.		
•	3.9 Midges: Questioning of spraying a "contaminant" to deal with midge issue, but the spray involved is a commonly used one. Swallows in the area also help in eating the midges.		
•	3.10 Fish and birds: Mangroves are used a lot by local birds. There is photo evidence of this relationship.		
Appen	dix One		
•	Concerns were raised about the state of Jones Road, particularly close to the driveway of the treatment plant.		
	 Traffic, cycle-way, gravel, no footpath – all add to effects on the road. 		
	 Could be an idea for an Auckland Transport Rep to come along and discuss management plans or what the trigger 		

enc	da/Actio	ons	Responsibility	Due by
		events would be for them to step in.		
	0	Nicholas Woodley currently trying to get in contact with AT regards the issue – Report back to the Consultative Group accordingly.	NW	
•		er Plan: Individual plants are unpredictable. There are no ased plans.		
	0	Watercare company-wide contingency plan to deal with different scenarios.		
•	Septic	to Kaipara		
	0	The issue of transporting septage to private disposal sites elsewhere, i.e. the Kaipara Harbour catchment, was discussed.		
	0	Watercare does not accept septage waste at the Omaha WWTP due to risks to treatment.		
	0	There was much discussion as to whether septage was different from the influent received at the WWTP normally, and whether the treatment plant should receive it.		
	0	There are some properties in Pt Wells that rely on septic tanks rather than being connected to the Omaha WWTP. It was noted that some councils have provided a scheme for residents who can't pay to be connected upfront – the charge is included in the rates and paid off over a period of time.		
	0	Nicholas Woodley will start looking into the AC regulatory guides and consents for the private septic disposal sites and work with Megan Beard from AC's Sustainable Catchments.	NW/MB	
xt s	teps			
1.		care to update investigation plan based on comments received meeting		
2.		vestigation plan will then be sent to the Auckland Council nt processing team for a peer review	All	
3.		eer review will be circulated amongst the Consultative Group ail for comment		
4.	Stage	1 of the investigation plan will commence		
5.	those r	s of stage 1 and any proposed changes to stage 2 based on results will be circulated to the Consultative Group and the nd Council peer reviewer		
n for	· Stage (One to be completed early January.		
	ongultat	ive Group meeting pencilled in for late January – Saturday		

Agenda/Actions	Responsibility	Due by
24 th January, 9am, TBC by email.		
The purpose of this meeting will be to discuss the results of stage 1 and proposed stage 2 as per item 5 above.		